



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/296,452	04/21/1999	TIMO BRUCK	WEB-340	8411	
22913	7590 05/12/2003		•		
WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY			EXAMINER		
	E GATE TOWER UTH TEMPLE	HUYNH, SON P			
SALT LAKE	CITY, UT 84111		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2611	7.1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sr.

)			
	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Comment	09/296,452		BRUCK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Son P Huynh		2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the co	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimivill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	or, may a reply be time um of thirty (30) days ((6) MONTHS from the	ely filed will be considered timely, ne mailing date of this con	nmunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 F</u>	-						
· — ,—	is action is non-fina						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims				merits is			
4) Claim(s) 6-11,23-27 and 58-91 is/are pending	in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •	ion					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	WITH CONSIDERAL	iori.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-11,23-27 and 58-91</u> is/are rejected.							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirem	ent					
Application Papers	ciccion requirem	GIII.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 April 1999</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held i	in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved	b) disapprov	ed by the Examine	·.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed in Applicatio	n No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).		tage			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•			application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) 🔲 N		(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTO				

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. The indicated allowability of claims 10, 27 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered references as follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 6-11, 23-27, 88 and 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schindler (US 6,081,830) in view of Croy et al. (US 6,509,908).

Regarding claim 10, Schindler discloses a computer system having a graphical user interface including a display 38, a method comprising the steps of:

Receiving video signal from plurality of channels such as NBC, ABC, CBS... and displaying the video signal on the display of television 18 (see col. 3, lines 8-56).

Art Unit: 2611

Receiving text communications from one or more viewers of the video signal, the text communications being related to the video signal; and displaying the received text communications on the display with the video signal on display screen 38 (see col. 7, lines 2-44), wherein an identification characteristic of the video signal (xyz) is displayed outside of the video region of the display (see figure 3). However, Schindler does not specifically disclose the identifying characteristic includes a background underlying other display data.

Croy teaches identifying characteristic (DIS BAMBI – icon 1415 in IPG 1500) includes a background underlying other display data 1510 (see figures 14-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was make to modify Schindler to incorporate the feature as taught by Croy in order to provide an improved graphical user interface to user.

Regarding claim 6, Schindler discloses the identifying characteristic xyz identifies an episode of a television series included in the video signal (see fig. 3).

Regarding claim 7, Schindler discloses the identifying characteristic identifies a television series corresponding to the video signal (see fig. 3).

Regarding claim 8, Schindler discloses the identifying characteristic identifies a television network affiliate providing the video signal (see col. 4, lines 17-20).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 9, Schindler discloses the identifying characteristic identifies a television network providing the video signal (see col. 4, lines 12-24).

Regarding claim 11, Schindler further discloses the method comprising the steps of: determining an identifying characteristic of the video signal; and defining a user interface for display of the text communication, the user interface being configured to reflect the identifying characteristic of the video signal (see col. 6, lines 9- 43 or figure 2).

Regarding claim 88, Schindler teaches the chat region of the display adjacent the video region of the display (see figure 3).

Regarding claim 27, Schindler teaches a graphical user interface for presentation on a display device, the graphical user interface comprising:

a video region 40 of the display for presentation of a video program having an identifying characteristic xyz; and

a chat region 52 of the display for real time presentation of text communications between viewers of the video program during viewing of the video program, wherein the appearance of the graphical user interface outside the video region is determined at least by an identifying characteristic of the video program (see figure 3). However, Schindler does not specifically disclose the identifying characteristic is used to determine a background over which other information is displayed.

Art Unit: 2611

Croy teaches identifying characteristic (DIS BAMBI – icon 1415 in IPG 1500) includes a background underlying other display data 1510 (see figures 14-15). Necessarily, the identifying characteristic is used to determine a background over which other information is displayed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was make to modify Schindler to incorporate the feature as taught by Croy in order to provide an improved graphical user interface to user.

Page 5

Regarding claims 23-26 and 90, the claimed elements correspond with the elements method for computer system in claims 6-9 and 88 and are analyzed as discussed in the with respect to the rejections of claims 6-9 and 88.

4. Claims 89 and 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schindler (US 6,081,830) in view of Croy et al. (US 6,509,908) as applied to claimed 10 and 27 and further in view of Presto- "Presto's Tribes Client Scripter" (hereinafter referred to as Presto).

Regarding claim 89, Schindler in view of Croy teaches a method as discussed in the rejection of claim 10. However, neither Schindler nor Croy specifically discloses the chat region of the display overlies the video region of the display.

Art Unit: 2611

Presto discloses the chat region of the display overlies the video region of the display (see picture 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Schindler and Croy by displaying chat region overlies video region as taught by Presto in order to enlarge chat region and video region.

Regarding claim 91, the claimed elements correspond with the elements method for computer system in claim 89 and are analyzed as discussed in the with respect to the rejections of claim 89.

5. Claims 58-62, 64, 73-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ullman et al. (US 6,330,595) and in view of Trovato et al. (US 6,425,012).

Regarding claim 58, Ullman teaches a client system comprising display for simultaneously showing video programs received from one or more video sources and chat communication corresponding to the received video programs, wherein the client system is capable of connecting to one or more host servers of one or more service provider and one or more of chat servers offering chat rooms, the method comprising: receiving a video program from a video source (content creation 4-see figure 1); displaying the video program at the client system (see figure 8);

Page 6

Art Unit: 2611

receiving chat link data (web page) from the service provider (Internet 20) indicating that the client system may display a chat link for connecting to a chat room (web page) associate with the video program (see figure 8 and col. 10, line 23+); based on the chat link data received from the service provider, displaying the chat link simultaneously with the video program (see col. 9, line 40); Ullman further disclose a chat dialogue frame 168 for conversing with the instructor and/or other students online. Whenever a student in the group send a message, the message is sent to the Internet Server 20 and every other student in the subgroup receives and views the message in their Chat dialogue frame 168. The instructor, however, may retain control over the chat feature (see figure 8 and col. 5+). However, Ullman does not clearly disclose sending a chat request to a host server upon selection of the chat link; receiving a chat room identifier from the host server that identifies the available chat room associated with the video program and a chat server; and automatically connecting the client system with the chat room that is associated with the video program using the chat room identifier received by the host server.

Trovato et al. teaches sending a chat request to a host server upon selection of the chat link;

receiving a chat room identifier from the host server that identifies the available chat room associated with the video program and a chat server; and automatically connecting the client system with the chat room that is associated with the video program using the chat room identifier received by the host server (see figures 3-4).

'Art Unit: 2611

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ullman to incorporate the feature as taught by Trovato in order to allow the server provide an most interest chat room to user based on user's identification number.

Regarding claim 59, Trovato teaches the host and chat servers are the same server (see figure 1).

Regarding claim 60, Ullman teaches the video program and the chat link data are received in a signal broadcast from the video source (see figure 2).

Regarding claim 61, Ullman teaches the video program is displayed in a video region of the display and text communications are displayed in a chat region of the display (see figure 8).

Regarding claim 62, Ullman teaches the chat region of the display is adjacent the video region of the display (see figure 8).

Regarding claim 64, Ullman teaches a chat user interface displayed in the chat region is customized based on an episode of a television series included in the video program (see col. 8, line 13+)

Page 8

Art Unit: 2611

Page 9

Regarding claims 73-77, the claims are directed toward embody the method of claims 58-62 in a "computer program product." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to embody the procedures of Ullman in view of Trovato discussed with respect to claims 58-62 in a "computer program product" in order that a processor could automatically perform the instructions.

6. Claim 63, 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ullman et al. (US 6,330,595) and in view of Trovato et al. (US 6,425,012), and further in view of Presto- "Presto's Tribes Client Scripter" (hereinafter referred to as Presto).

Regarding claim 63, Ullman in view of Trovato teaches a method as discussed in the rejection of claim 61. However, neither Ullman nor Trovato specifically discloses the chat region of the display overlies the video region of the display.

Presto discloses the chat region of the display overlies the video region of the display (see picture 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ullman and Trovato by displaying chat region overlies video region as taught by Presto in order to enlarge chat region and video region.

Regarding claim 78, the claims are directed toward embody the method of claim 63 in a "computer program product." It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2611

art to embody the procedures of Ullman in view of Trovato discussed with respect to claim 63 in a "computer program product" in order that a processor could automatically perform the instructions.

Page 10

7. Claims 65-69, 71-72, 79-83, 85-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ullman et al. (US 6,330,595) and in view of Trovato et al. (US 6,425,012), and further in view of Schindler (US 6,081,830).

Regarding claim 65, Ullman in view of Trovato teaches a method as discussed in the rejection of claim 61. Ullman also discloses web pages 176 automatically delivered to each student's desktop with information or exercises that complement the video presentation (see col. 11, lines 2-4). However, neither Ullman nor Trovato clearly discloses an identifying characteristic of the video program is displayed outside of the video region of the display.

Schindler teaches identifying characteristic xyz of the video signal is displayed outside (chat room xyz 44) of the video region of the display (see fig. 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ullman and Trovato to incorporate the feature as taught by Schindler in order to indicate identifying characteristic of the video signal to user.

Regarding claim 66, Schindler discloses the identifying characteristic xyz identifies an episode of a television series included in the video signal (see fig. 3).

Regarding claim 67, Schindler discloses the identifying characteristic identifies a television series corresponding to the video signal (see fig. 3).

6Regarding claim 68, Schindler discloses the identifying characteristic identifies a television network affiliate providing the video signal (see col. 4, lines 17-20).

Regarding claim 69, Schindler discloses the identifying characteristic identifies a television network providing the video signal (see col. 4, lines 12-24).

Regarding claim 71, Schindler further discloses the method comprising the steps of: determining an identifying characteristic of the video signal; and defining a user interface for display of the text communication, the user interface being configured to reflect the identifying characteristic of the video signal (see col. 6, lines 9- 43 or figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Ullman and Trovato to incorporate the feature as taught by Schindler in order to indicate identifying characteristic of the video program to user.

Regarding claim 72, Schindler discloses the user interface includes a predefined chat region 52 for display of the text communications (see figure 3).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 79-83, 85-86, the claims are directed toward embody the method of

Page 12

one of ordinary skill in the art to embody the procedures of Ullman in view of Trovato

claims 65-69, 71-72 in a "computer program product." It would have been obvious to

discussed with respect to claims 65-69, 71-72 in a "computer program product" in order

that a processor could automatically perform the instructions.

Regarding claim 87, Ullman teaches the user interface includes a predefined video

region for display of the video program (see figure 8).

8. Claims 70 and 84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ullman et al. (US 6,330,595) and in view of Trovato et al. (US 6,425,012) and Schindler (US 6,081,830) as applied to claim 65 and further in view of Croy (US

6,509,908).

Regarding claim 70, Ullman in view of Trovato and Schindler teaches a method as discussed in the rejection of claim 65. However, none of them specifically discloses the identifying characteristic includes a background underlying other display data.

Croy teaches identifying characteristic (DIS BAMBI – icon 1415 in IPG 1500) includes a background underlying other display data 1510 (see figures 14-15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2611

invention was make to modify Ullman, Trovato and Schindler to incorporate the feature

Page 13

as taught by Croy in order to provide an improved graphical user interface to user.

Regarding claim 84, the limitation of the system as claimed corresponds to the limitation

of the method as claimed in claim 70 and are analyzed as discussed in the rejection of

claim 70.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Son P Huynh whose telephone number is 703-305-

1889. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on 703-305-4380. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for

regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the customer office service whose telephone number

is 703-306-0377.

ANDREW FAILE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Son P. Huynh May 5, 2003